

Project Number: 2016-1-RO01-KA203-024630

## Practical Application 2.5.b Case study: Communication between paediatric nurses about decision making

## CASE STUDY

## "Triage in Paediatric Emergecy

At the Pinerolo hospital (Italy) it is used the PEWS (Paediatric Advanced Warning System) as mainly nursing tool, to early detect the deterioration of a hospitalized child, in order to optimize the management of a patient who may need a transfer and a start at a more intensive level of care.

The PEWS consists of an attribution of a score deriving from the sum of various parameters (both clinical and instrumental) detected by the nurse. Each score match to a decision choice, in terms of both revaluation times, and possible notification to the on call anaesthetist.

The present case refers to a two year old child admitted for severe bronchospasm. The assessment of the nurse with the PEWS shows a score of 4. The therapy is changed by the paediatrician on call.

A later reassessment shows a score of 5 (ie a deterioration), which provides for the "notification" that is the "preallerta" of the anaesthesiologist. In this case the on call paediatrician prefers not to inform the anaesthetist yet.

In this way a dissonance was created between the interpretation of the PEWS by the doctor and by the nurse, with a consequent misunderstanding regarding the uniformity of use of the instrument.

## **Questions:**

- What reasons may have conducted the paediatrician to minimize the notice about the aggravation of the case with the nurse?
- What could have facilitated a clear and open communication between paediatrician, nurse





Project Number: 2016-1-RO01-KA203-024630 and anaesthesiologist about the evaluation variation to the PEWS?

What could make the PEWS assessment tool an instrument that uniformly used and • interpreted by all the paediatric staff responsible for clinical evaluation?



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union